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cTable 1. MOOSE Checklist for Meta-analyses of Observational Studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item No</th>
<th>Recommendation</th>
<th>Reported on Page No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reporting of background should include</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Problem definition</td>
<td>6-7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Hypothesis statement</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Description of study outcome(s)</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Type of exposure or intervention used</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Type of study designs used</td>
<td>6-7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Study population</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reporting of search strategy should include</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Qualifications of searchers (eg, librarians and investigators)</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Search strategy, including time period included in the synthesis and key words</td>
<td>7, appendix</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Effort to include all available studies, including contact with authors</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Databases and registries searched</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Search software used, name and version, including special features used (eg, explosion)</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Use of hand searching (eg, reference lists of obtained articles)</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>List of citations located and those excluded, including justification</td>
<td>Fig 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Method of addressing articles published in languages other than English</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Method of handling abstracts and unpublished studies</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Description of any contact with authors</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reporting of methods should include</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Description of relevance or appropriateness of studies assembled for assessing the hypothesis to be tested</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Rationale for the selection and coding of data (eg, sound clinical principles or convenience)</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Documentation of how data were classified and coded (eg, multiple raters, blinding and interrater reliability)</td>
<td>9-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Assessment of confounding (eg, comparability of cases and controls in studies where appropriate)</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Assessment of study quality, including blinding of quality assessors, stratification or regression on possible predictors of study results</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Assessment of heterogeneity</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Description of statistical methods (eg, complete description of fixed or random effects models, justification of whether the chosen models account for predictors of study results, dose-response models, or cumulative meta-analysis) in sufficient detail to be replicated</td>
<td>10-11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Provision of appropriate tables and graphics</td>
<td>Tables 1, Figs 1-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reporting of results should include</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Graphic summarizing individual study estimates and overall estimate</td>
<td>Figs 2-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Table giving descriptive information for each study included</td>
<td>Table 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Results of sensitivity testing (eg, subgroup analysis)</td>
<td>Fig 5; appendix</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item No</td>
<td>Recommendation</td>
<td>Reported on Page No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Indication of statistical uncertainty of findings</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Reporting of discussion should include Quantitative assessment of bias (eg, publication bias)</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Justification for exclusion (eg, exclusion of non-English language citations)</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>Assessment of quality of included studies</td>
<td>17-18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reporting of conclusions should include</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>Consideration of alternative explanations for observed results</td>
<td>16-18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>Generalization of the conclusions (ie, appropriate for the data presented and within the domain of the literature review)</td>
<td>17-18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>Guidelines for future research</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>Disclosure of funding source</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### MEDLINE Searches

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>MEDLINE Searches</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>exp patient safety/</td>
<td>8183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>exp adverse drug reaction/</td>
<td>12925</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>exp iatrogenic disease/</td>
<td>7435</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>exp medical error/</td>
<td>16224</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>exp malpractice/</td>
<td>1634</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>patient safety.tw.</td>
<td>5394</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>safety culture.tw.</td>
<td>409</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>(safe$ adj2 (practice$ or manage$)).tw.</td>
<td>1933</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>iatrogenic disease$.tw.</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>malpractice$.tw.</td>
<td>631</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>(patient adj2 harm$).tw.</td>
<td>435</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>human error$.tw.</td>
<td>299</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>((service$ or system$ or communication$ or organisation$ or organization$) adj2 (weak$ or fail$)).tw.</td>
<td>1318</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>(latent adj1 (threat$ or cause$ or fail$)).tw.</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>((adverse or avoidable or preventable or unsafe or safer$) adj2 (event$ or outcome$ or complication$ or death$ or effect$ or reaction$ or accident$ or injur$)).tw.</td>
<td>79169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>((medica$ or diagnostic or therapeautic or administration or dispensing or prescri$) adj2 (error$ or mistake$ or fault$)).tw.</td>
<td>2075</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>(patient$ adj2 (risk$ or incident$ or accident$)).tw.</td>
<td>20007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>near miss$.tw.</td>
<td>380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>never event$.tw.</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>untoward incident*.tw.</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>serious incident*.tw.</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>serious report* event*.tw.</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>((Quality &amp; safety in health care or International Journal for Quality in Health Care).jn. or (Qual Saf Health Care or IJQHC).ja.) and safe$2.mp.</td>
<td>118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Term</td>
<td>Count</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>polypharmacy/</td>
<td>1227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>polypharmacy.tw.</td>
<td>1356</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>(patient adj1 satisf*).tw.</td>
<td>5513</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>&quot;Quality of Health Care&quot;/ or quality of care.mp.</td>
<td>14612</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Patient Compliance/ or Prescription Drugs/ or Drug Prescriptions/</td>
<td>11290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>continuity of care.mp. or &quot;Continuity of Patient Care&quot;/</td>
<td>3234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Physician-Patient Relations/ or Patient-Centered Care/</td>
<td>11499</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>patient-centered care.tw.</td>
<td>913</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>(physician-patient adj (relation* or communicat* or interact*)).tw.</td>
<td>331</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>(doctor-patient adj (relation* or communicat* or interact*)).tw.</td>
<td>515</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>(general practitioner adj (relation* or communicat* or interact*)).tw.</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>medication adherence.mp. or Medication Adherence/</td>
<td>6980</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>Quality Indicators, Health Care/</td>
<td>3228</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>Patient Satisfaction/ or patient satisfact*.mp.</td>
<td>14787</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>Quality Improvement/ or patient experience.mp.</td>
<td>10862</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>(patient adj1 trust*).tw.</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>((psychological or emotion*) adj1 harm).mp. [mp=title, abstract, original title, name of substance word, subject heading word, keyword heading word, protocol supplementary concept word, rare disease supplementary concept word, unique identifier, synonyms]</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>Interpersonal Relations/ or relational continuity.mp. or Health Services Accessibility/</td>
<td>21109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>Burnout, Professional/ or Stress, Psychological/</td>
<td>19965</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>burnout.mp.</td>
<td>2388</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>((work or job) adj (stress or distress)).tw.</td>
<td>478</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>((emotional or psychological) adj distress).tw.</td>
<td>4051</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>well being.mp.</td>
<td>11774</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>physician.mp. or Physicians/</td>
<td>33763</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>doctor.mp.</td>
<td>5452</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>general practitioner.mp. or General Practitioners/</td>
<td>3694</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>mental health.mp. or Mental Health/</td>
<td>26169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>Depression/</td>
<td>21464</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>42 or 43 or 44 or 45 or 46 or 50 or 51</td>
<td>69473</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>47 or 48 or 49</td>
<td>40443</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>52 and 53</td>
<td>2474</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>or/1-41</td>
<td>217125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>54 and 55</td>
<td>1065</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study</td>
<td>Response rate</td>
<td>Coding (1=&gt;70%; 0=&lt;70%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anagnostopoulos,2012</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asai,2013</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baer,2017</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balch,2011</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bourne,2015</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazeau,2010</td>
<td>72%</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brown,2009</td>
<td>n/r</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chen,2013</td>
<td>76%</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cooke,2013</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>de Oliveira,2013</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dollarhide,2014</td>
<td>7580%</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eckleberry-Hunt,2017</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fahrenkopf,2008</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garrouste-Orges,2015</td>
<td>77%</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Halbesleben,2008</td>
<td>n/r</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hansen,2011</td>
<td>83%</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hayashino,2012</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kalmbach,2017</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kang,2013</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Klein,2010</td>
<td>n/r</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Krebs,2006</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kwah,2017</td>
<td>98%</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lafreniere,2016</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linzer,2009</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lu,2015</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O’Connor,2017</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ozvacic Adzic,2012</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park,2016</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passalacqua,2012</td>
<td>n/r</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pedersen,2016</td>
<td>72%</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prins,2009</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qureshi,2015</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ratanawongsa,2008</td>
<td>n/r</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shanafelt,2002</td>
<td>76%</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shanafelt,2005</td>
<td>76%</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shanafelt,2010</td>
<td>76%</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toral-Villanueva,2009</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Torres, 2015</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travado, 2005</td>
<td>n/r</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>van der Hombergh, 2009</td>
<td>n/r</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walocha, 2013</td>
<td>n/r</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weigl, 2015</td>
<td>74%</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Welp, 2014</td>
<td>n/r</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wen, 2016</td>
<td>89%</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weng, 2011</td>
<td>n/r</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West, 2006</td>
<td>84%</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West, 2009</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
eFigure 1: Forest plot of the association between depression/emotional distress and patient safety incidents.

Figure legend: Meta-analysis of individual study and pooled effects. Each line represents one study in the meta-analysis, plotted according to the odds ratio (OR). The black box on each line shows the OR for each study and the blue box represents the pooled OR. 95% CI = 95% confidence intervals; ES = Odds ratio.
eFigure 2: Forest plot of the association between depression/emotional distress and low professionalism.

Figure legend: Meta-analysis of individual study and pooled effects. Each line represents one study in the meta-analysis, plotted according to the odds ratio (OR). The black box on each line shows the OR for each study and the blue box represents the pooled OR. 95% CI = 95% confidence intervals; ES = Odds ratio.
Figure 3: Funnel plot of odds ratios of the association between burnout and patient safety versus the standard error for this association.

Funnel plot with pseudo 95% confidence intervals. The outer lines indicate the triangular region within which 95% of studies are expected to lie in the absence of both biases and heterogeneity. Funnel plot shows no substantial asymmetry.
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