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eAppendix. Findings Without Partial Volume Correction (PVC) of $[^{18}F]AV-1451$ Retention

Group comparisons of PV uncorrected tau burden
Without PVC, tau accumulation in the ITG continued to differ among the diagnostic groups (p=0.013, Kruskall-Wallis test for a main effect of group; eTable 1; eFigure 1). $[^{18}F]AV-1451$ binding in DLB remained higher than in NC (p=0.005, Wilcoxin rank sum test) and PD-normal (p=0.030) groups, and showed the greatest variance (significantly greater than that of each of the other groups (p<0.05, F test), which did not differ among themselves). In addition, $[^{18}F]AV-1451$ binding in the PD-impaired group was higher than NC at a marginal statistical significance (p=0.05), but did not differ from the PD-normal group (p=0.2) or the DLB group (p=0.3).

In addition, tau deposition in the precuneus still differed across the diagnostic groups (p=0.006, Kruskall-Wallis test). $[^{18}F]AV-1451$ binding in both DLB and PD-impaired groups was higher than in NC (DLB, p=0.004; PD-impaired, p=0.024), but was similar to the PD-normal group (p>0.05, for each contrast).

Relation of amyloid burden to ITG tau deposition measured without PVC
Without PVC, $[^{11}C]PiB$ retention and $[^{18}F]AV-1451$ binding in the ITG remained uncorrelated (across groups, r=-0.12, p=0.4; within groups, p=0.05 for all). Furthermore, 7/17 (41%) of LBD cases with low $[^{11}C]PiB$ had $[^{18}F]AV-1451$ binding in the ITG exceeding the highest $[^{18}F]AV-1451$ binding observed in NC subjects and >1.3 SUVR. These results are consistent with the PVC observation that high levels of cortical $[^{11}C]PiB$ retention were not necessary for high $[^{18}F]AV-1451$ binding in the LBD groups.
### eTable. $[^{18}F]$AV-1451 Binding and $[^{11}C]$PiB Binding in Select ROIs Across the Diagnostic Groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>PV uncorrected $[^{18}F]$AV-1451 ITG (SUVR)</th>
<th>PV corrected $[^{18}F]$AV-1451 ITG (SUVR)</th>
<th>PV uncorrected $[^{18}F]$AV-1451 Precuneus (SUVR)</th>
<th>PV corrected $[^{18}F]$AV-1451 Precuneus (SUVR)</th>
<th>$[^{11}C]$PiB FLR (DVR)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NC</td>
<td>1.20 ± 0.01 $^a$</td>
<td>1.34 ± 0.02 $^{a,b}$</td>
<td>1.10 ± 0.01 $^{a,b}$</td>
<td>1.22 ± 0.03 $^{a,b}$</td>
<td>1.06 ± 0.01 (0.96-1.14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PD-normal</td>
<td>1.23 ± 0.01 $^a$</td>
<td>1.37 ± 0.02 $^{a,b}$</td>
<td>1.13 ± 0.02</td>
<td>1.28 ± 0.05 $^a$</td>
<td>1.07 ± 0.02 (1.00-1.15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PD-impaired</td>
<td>1.28 ± 0.03</td>
<td>1.52 ± 0.06 $^{c,d}$</td>
<td>1.18 ± 0.03 $^c$</td>
<td>1.44 ± 0.07 $^c$</td>
<td>1.08 ± 0.03 (0.93-1.22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DLB</td>
<td>1.39 ± 0.07 $^{c,d}$</td>
<td>1.78 ± 0.17 $^{c,d}$</td>
<td>1.23 ± 0.05 $^c$</td>
<td>1.58 ± 0.12 $^{c,d}$</td>
<td>1.14 ± 0.08 (1.01-1.51)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ITG, Inferior temporal gyrus

Error bars reflect standard error. For significance testing, the Kruskall-Wallis test was first used to assess a main effect of group. Where significant (p<0.05), this was followed up with Wilcoxin post-hoc tests, with significance indicated as follows:

- $^a$ - significantly different from DLB
- $^b$ - significantly different from PD-impaired
- $^c$ - significantly different from NC
- $^d$ - significantly different from PD-normal
eFigure 1. Partial Volume Uncorrected Estimates of Tau Deposition in the Inferior Temporal Gyrus. PV uncorrected [¹⁸F]AV-1451 SUVR values are displayed for each of the diagnostic groups, using the box-whiskers convention. Dots represent actual values. As designated by the asterisk, the DLB group had higher [¹⁸F]AV-1451 retention than the PD-normal (p=0.034, Wilcoxon test) and NC groups (p=0.005) and the greatest variance in [¹⁸F]AV-1451 retention (p<0.05 compared to the variance of each of the other groups pairwise, F test; variances for the other groups did not differ significantly). The PD-impaired group’s [¹⁸F]AV-1451 retention trended higher than the NC group (p=0.053). Black circles (n=4) designate high [¹¹C]PiB subjects (FLR > 1.15 SUVR). All 7 of the DLB or PD-impaired subjects with AV-1451 values greater than that of any of the controls had low amyloid. Crossed circles (n=3) show cases who did not complete [¹¹C]PiB PET.
eFigure 2. Scatterplot of PV Corrected \[^{18}\text{F}]\text{AV-1451}\ Binding in the ITG and \[^{11}\text{C}]\text{PiB}\ Binding Across Subjects.
Across groups, Spearman \(r=-0.05, p=0.7\); within each group, \(p>0.05\).
eFigure 3. Tau Deposition in Lewy Body Disease Subjects With Low Amyloid Burden

Distributions of $[^{18}F]AV-1451$ binding and $[^{11}C]PiB$ binding in Lewy body disease subjects with elevated inferior temporal gyrus $[^{18}F]AV-1451$ binding and low amyloid burden ($[^{11}C]PiB$ negative, using threshold, FLR DVR 1.15). $[^{11}C]PiB$ DVR values are displayed for each case.