

Supplementary Online Content

Bastawrous A, Giardini ME, Bolster NM, et al. Clinical validation of a smartphone-based adapter for optic disc imaging in Kenya. *JAMA Ophthalmol*. Published online November 26, 2015. doi:10.1001/jamaophthalmol.2015.4625.

eTable. Cross-tabulation of VCDR Grading by Imaging Modality

eFigure 1. Peek Retina 3-Dimensional Printed Prototype Adapter Fitted on a Samsung SIII Smartphone

eFigure 2. Flowchart of Images Included for Comparison

eFigure 3. Histogram Showing Distribution of VCDR in Reference and Peek Images With Mean (97.5th and 99.5th Percentiles) of Reference Images Indicated

This supplementary material has been provided by the authors to give readers additional information about their work.

eTable. Cross-tabulation of VCDR Grading by Imaging Modality


	Reference											
Peek	VCDR	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.8	0.9	1.0	Total
	0.1	4	17	5	1							27
	0.2	14	114	72	17	3	1	1				222
	0.3	8	113	312	95	26	2					556
	0.4	1	19	200	243	91	7	2				563
	0.5		10	80	162	162	44	8				466
	0.6		2	3	23	56	74	19				177
	0.7				2	12	38	40	1			93
	0.8			1		2	1	12	20	2		38
	0.9							1	3	4		8
	1.0										1	1
	Total	27	275	673	543	352	167	83	24	6	1	2151

Green cells indicate up to or within 0.2 VCDR difference, orange are within 0.3 VCDR and red are ≥ 0.4 VCDR

eFigure 1. Peek Retina 3-Dimensional Printed Prototype Adapter Fitted on a Samsung SIII Smartphone



eFigure 2. Flowchart of Images Included for Comparison



eFigure 3. Histogram Showing Distribution of VCDR in Reference and Peek Images With Mean (97.5th and 99.5th Percentiles) of Reference Images Indicated

